



**Initial Meeting**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Name of Auditor: \_\_\_\_\_

Attendees (name/title): \_\_\_\_\_ / Principal Investigator  
\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_

**1. Explain audit purpose**

- Why protocol was selected and what are goals of review
- What to expect: Study Review, Final Meeting, Final Report and PI Response
- 
- 

**2. Verify Sponsor and Funding Source**

Approved: [insert sponsor and funding source on approved protocol submission materials prior to meeting]

Current Practice: Ask PI/staff what is sponsor/funding source as open-ended question (avoid asking yes/no question such as, "is the sponsor \_\_\_ and funding source \_\_\_?").  
[insert PI response]

Changes/Notes: [describe any discrepancies between approved vs. current PI response, if any]

No Change

**3. Study design**

Approved: [insert brief overview of the study design prior to meeting]

Current Practice: \_\_\_\_\_

Changes/Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

No Change

**4. Verify Subject Enrollment and anticipated Final Enrollment Number (N)**

Approved N: \_\_\_\_\_ Enrollment reported at last IRB Continuing Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Actual Enrollment Ask PI/staff what enrollment is to date as open-ended question (avoid asking yes/no question such as, "are \_\_\_ subjects still enrolled?" or "have you exceeded approved N of \_\_\_?")

[insert PI response]

[insert auditor's notes during document review: do study/subject records verify PI response]

Changes/Notes: [describe discrepancies between approved/reported N vs. PI response & audit of study docs]

No Change



- Do you expect to enroll N with the anticipated time frame?  Yes  No
- Do you feel that you have adequate resources/staff to conduct study safely?  Yes  No

If NO, please explain. Is there anything that would make a difference (e.g. resources)?

---

---

---

### 5. Verify Recruitment Process

Approved: [insert recruitment described on approved protocol prior to initial audit meeting]

Current Practice: Ask PI/staff to describe current recruitment process and materials.

[insert PI response]

[insert auditor's observations of recruitment practices based on study/subject document audit: do study/subject records verify PI response]

Changes/Notes: [describe any discrepancies between approved vs. current PI response, if any]

No Change

---

---

### 6. Verify Informed Consent Process: who obtains consent, where and when

Approved: [insert informed consent process as described on approved protocol prior to initial meeting]

Current Practice: Ask PI/staff to describe informed consent process; e.g. "walk me through process of how consent is obtained from subjects from start (giving info to point of enrollment)"

[insert PI response]

[insert auditor's observations of consent practices based on study/subject document audit: do study/subject records verify PI response]

Changes/Notes: [describe any discrepancies between approved vs. current PI response, if any]

No Change

---

---

### Ensure PI/Staff know the following:

- Subjects/families must receive a copy of the signed consent/assent form(s)
- All signors must date their own signature – do not date another person's signature
- If consent is obtained on same day, specify in study notes

---



**7. Verify where Signed Consents/Study Documents w/identifiers (PHI) are filed?  
Verify where Study Materials and Regulatory Study Documents are stored?**

---

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Current practice: \_\_\_\_\_  
Changes/Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 No Changes \_\_\_\_\_

**Ensure PI/Staff know the following:**

- Institutional policy for storage of study documents
- Discuss "safe and secure" storage practices per good clinical practices

**8. Have there been any Serious/Unanticipated Events, Deviations or Exceptions?**

---

Reported Events: \_\_\_\_\_  
Unreported/Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 No Changes \_\_\_\_\_

**Ensure PI/Staff know the following:**

- Institutional Unanticipated Events reporting policy
- GCPs/best practices regarding reporting unanticipated events

**9. Verify Data Safety Monitoring Plan**

---

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
Current Practice: \_\_\_\_\_  
Changes/Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 No Changes \_\_\_\_\_

**Ensure PI/Staff know the following:**

- Verify that all events requiring DSMB review were reporting according to IRB approved plan
- Institutional policy for Data Safety Monitoring Plans

**10. Have there been any outside monitoring or review of this protocol?**

---

- NO
- If YES, were copies of all outside monitoring reports/letters submitted to the IRB?

**Ensure PI/Staff know the following:**

- Copies of all outside monitoring formal reports/letters must be submitted to the IRB



**11. If protocol expired, verify there was no research activity/enrollment during those times?**

Expired periods: [note any periods protocol approval lapsed per IRB records]  
 PI Response: *If there were periods protocol expired, ask PI to describe research activity and enrollment process during these times – ask as open-ended question*  
[insert PI response]  
[insert auditor's observations of recruitment, enrollment and subject activities (of enrolled subjects) during expired time periods based on study/subject document review during audit]  
 No Changes

**Ensure PI/Staff know the following:**

- Recommend to submit Continuing Review applications at least 2 months prior to expiration
- When protocol has expired or put on hold, all research activity/enrollment should stop, unless permission is granted from the IRB

**12. Verify Research Staff and Training**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approved Research Staff	Title/Role	Required Training
<input type="checkbox"/>	Principal Investigator	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

**Is there anyone else who is not listed above that is currently involved in this protocol?**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Ensure PI/Staff know the following:**

- IRB policy: Multi-Center Research and Engagement in Research
- IRB policy: Education and Training – Investigator and Research Staff
- IRB policy: Communication of Staff Concerns Raised during Research

**13. How is your relationship/communication with IRB?**

**Have there been any unexplained delays, and/or overall turn-around time (> 14 days)?**

\_\_\_\_\_



---

**14. What are your experiences, comments and/or feedback regarding:**

**IRB Office/Administrators**

**IRB Review Process**

**Clinical Trials Office**

**Research Pharmacy**

**Clinical Research Center**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**15. Are there any notable obstacles/frustrations to conducting research at [institution]?**

---

---

---

---

---

**16. Are there any notable strength that facilitate your research at [institution]?**

---

---

---

---

---

**17. Are there any resources or services that [institution] can provide that may facilitate research and/or encourage more investigators to conduct research?**

---

---

---

---

---

**18. Overall, what are your general thoughts/impressions about research at [institution]?**

---

---

---

---



**19. What are your expectations from this audit? What would you like to learn/improve upon?**

---

---

---

---

**20. Questions/Concerns/Comments**

---

---

---

---

**Ensure PI receives:**

- Copy of Principal Investigator Responsibilities